GAP Analysis - Mortality Review

Date: 14.01.16

Version No: 6

Southern Healt

NHS Foundation Tru

Helen Ludford Associate Director of Quality **Produced by: (Name & Job Title)** Governance

Mazars Recommendation Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance	Current Gap	Barriers to implementation of recommendation	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested?
Board Leadership and Oversight	The Board needs to address the culture of lack of review and reporting of unexpected deaths, ensure staff at all levels recognise the need for timely, high quality investigation, how to include families and to ensure learning is demonstrated. a. The Board needs to ensure the processes of reporting and investigating unexpected deaths are consistent and robust throughout the organisation and to improve the quality of investigations and the involvement of families in those investigations. The Trust needs to prioritise the review of deaths as part of a wider mortality review process making better use of data available. b. The Board needs to understand and make full use of the data available and the underlying information required for assurance that unexpected deaths are being properly identified and investigated.	a. The Board receives information about deaths - weekly Flash report and CQC serious incident submission b. The Board via Quality Safety Committee receives quarterly serious incident reports which include information regarding process and deaths c. Corporate panels with Executive chair ensure that the duty of candour has been performed correctly for every incident d. Incident investigator training includes a session on the duty of candour and involving families in investigations e. There is a centralised investigation team in post to provide expert help and support to investigators to fulfil the duty of candour and involve families	receive a specific mortality report which captures the review of death alone.	Nil	Yes - This will be implemented for the entire Trust across all service areas not just those pertaining to this mortality review.

Mazars Recommendation				Barriers to implementation of	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an
Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance	Current Gap	recommendation	alternative approach suggested?
Board Leadership and Oversight	incidents of deaths. The report should: a. provide data on all deaths of people using a Mental Health or Learning Disability service including service users of the social care service - TQ21. b. outline how many unexpected deaths there have been and in which areas. c. outline how many IMAs have been written as a result and how	a. The Board receives information about deaths - weekly Flash report and CQC serious incident submission b. The Board via Quality Safety Committee receives quarterly serious incident reports which include information regarding process and deaths c. Corporate panels with Executive chair ensure that the duty of candour has taken place correctly for every incident d. Incident investigator training includes a session on the duty of candour and involving families in investigations e. There is a centralised investigation team in post to provide expert help and support to investigators to fulfil the duty of candour and involve families	undertaken, but due to the manual nature of the process amendment is required. An automated process linked to Ulysses will be live from January 2016 b. Mortality reporting to the Board and sub committees has previously been included within the Incident Report and not as a separate paper. Stand alone reporting will be implemented into the programme of board and subcommittee schedules and will specifically	the process of electronic investigation.	Yes - This will be implemented for the entire Trust across all service areas not just those pertaining to this mortality review. The only exception is in respect of recommendation b. The Trust's new procedure for reporting and investigating deaths has moved away from classifying deaths as 'expected' or 'unexpected'. Instead, the report to the Board will outline how many deaths there have been which have warranted further investigation.
Board Leadership and Oversight	The 2015/16 Annual Report should provide a more transparent breakdown of deaths including a analysis of the themes that occur for people with Mental Health and Learning Disability challenges.	The Trust's Annual Report already contains high level data which has met the national reporting requirements and the NHS guidance document.	The annual report will be developed to include a detailed breakdown of deaths and analysis of the mortality thematic reviews that have been undertaken.	Nil	Yes
Board Leadership and Oversight	There is clear national and Trust policy guidance on reporting and investigating deaths. Trust policy includes a full set of templates and processes - the Board should ensure these policies are being followed and templates being used.	Policy and procedure documents are in place and specifically relate to reporting and investigating of incidents and deaths. An investigation toolkit, supported by the former NPSA and other organisations is available on the Trust intranet. Process standardisation will be achieve through the implementation of the electronic system. The Trust is compliant with the nationally mandated Serious Incident reporting framework.		for the reporting of deaths does not exist and the only guidance is that for serious incident reporting.	Yes the Trust will ensure that local templates and processes are followed. Whilst national guidance on reporting and investigating deaths does not currently exist (aside from serious incident guidance), the Trust will comply with any new national guidance as and when it becomes available.

Mazars Recommendation Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance	Current Gap	Barriers to implementation of recommendation	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested?
Monitoring mortality and unexpected deaths / attrition	Unexpected deaths should be defined more clearly. We suggest the Trust uses, as a starting point, the classification outlined in this report to identify the potential need for review or investigation in each case. In particular, the definition of an 'unexpected death' needs to be refined to be more applicable to the circumstances of people with a Learning Disability regardless of setting.	The Trust, has in partnership with Commissioners, developed a process for the reporting of deaths and evidencing what level of investigation is required. This is has been built into the Ulysses Safeguard system. The Trust will not be implementing the system developed by the authors of the report.		There was a delay in the implementation of this recommendation due to system design - Ulysses Safeguard but this has now been resolved. The widely used terminology of expected/unexpected deaths has been unhelpful as it is too subjective. SHFT has defined its own criteria for the reporting and investigating of deaths in conjunction with local commissioners.	No - alternative action proposed. The Trust has developed a new Procedure for Reporting and Investigating Deaths which will provide an evidence trail as to the level of investigation that is required. This is built into the Ulysses Safeguard system. The Trust will not be implementing the system developed by the authors of the report as the classification outlined relies on subjective judgements by frontline staff which has not previously been helpful.
Monitoring mortality and unexpected deaths / attrition	The Trust should develop a Mental Health and Learning Disability Mortality Review Group which includes reviewing unexpected deaths which do not constitute a serious incident. Clear terms of reference should be developed. This group should serve a number of purposes: a. to provide oversight of all deaths occurring amongst the Trusts Mental Health and Learning Disability service users b. develop a mortality dashboard which is provided to stakeholders and reported in the annual report that provides a full picture of all deaths, themes, CIRs and serious incidents c. monitor causes of deaths amongst its service users by using the 2013/14 MHMDS data release to see if the ICD 10 chapters show any trend d. provide an evidence base to share with Local Authority commissioners and other providers highlighting themes that are arising relating to social care and other agencies issues e. to ensure that liaison with acute provider colleagues can take place at a clinical and managerial level where the Trust has concerns raised with it about care in acute settings f. should include a GP as part of its membership g. the formation and progress of this new group should be monitored at Board level h. the group must aim to improve the transparency of reporting levels of unexpected deaths.		The need for mortality review groups has been recognised by the Trust but are not yet in place.		In part. The Trust will hold mortality meetings in each Division to review deaths however it cannot be responsible for monitoring improvements within other providers as this is the role of the commissioners. The Trust cannot mandate the attendance of a GP at these meetings .Concerns about GPs or other providers will be raised through the commissioners to other organisations. The quality manager from the commissioners will be invited to attend the mortality meetings. Recommendation c. cannot be fully implemented as ICD 10 chapters are not used consistently across non- inpatient services and it would not be appropriate to do so. Alternative categorisation of cause of death will be applied.

Mazars Recommendation Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance		Barriers to implementation of	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested?
Thematic reviews	A template for a thematic review should be produced. All thematic reviews should be undertaken in an agreed format which meets best practice standards and includes follow up, evaluation and demonstration of lessons learned and practice change.		The requirement for regular rather than ad hoc thematic reviews has only recently been established within SHFT and these is not yet a standardised template to support them.	Nil	Yes
Thematic reviews	There should be further work undertaken to establish whether all deaths of people over the age of 65 are being appropriately reported and investigated - in particular amongst inpatients.	Reporting of deaths now takes place in accordance with the Trust's new Procedure for Reporting and Investigating deaths.	Previously there has been inconsistent practice which has been eliminated with the launch of the electronic reporting tool and new Procedure.	Nil	Yes

4 of 8

Mazars Recommendation Theme Thematic reviews	Mazars Recommendations The Trust, CCG and local authority should undertake a retrospective review of all Learning Disability unexpected deaths regardless of place of residence with particular reference to: a. the quality, timing and follow up of dysphagia assessments b. the level of support provided by hospital liaison services and the challenges faced in acute liaison c. the decision-making process for PEG insertion d. the hydration and nourishment of service users refusing to eat e. delays in decision-making for treatment - including primary care, decisions by care staff and responses in A&E and on wards f. the inclusion of carers and families in investigations g. waiting times for therapy services and community nursing h. identification of early warning signs of deterioration through	Current Compliance SHFT has evidence of undertaking some thematic reviews which have been presented to Board subcommittees.	Current Gap Not applicable - this recommendation is a defined piece of work for further discussion with stakeholders. It is recognised that there could barriers in relation to capacity for this large retrospective review across multiple organisations.	Barriers to implementation of recommendation This is a large piece of retrospective work involving external partners which requires coordination and a lead organisation. Capacity to facilitate could feature as a barrier.	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested? To be decided - further discussion is needed with external partners, particularly commissioner colleagues who will need to agree and facilitate this piece of work. SHFT cannot make the decision to undertake this review in isolation as in most instances its records will only provide a limited part of the multi-agency information required With reference to j. there are no outstanding safeguarding concerns that have not been reported.
Thematic reviews	behavioural change i. arrangements for attending appointments and seeing healthcare professionals j. reporting and acting on safeguarding concerns.	SHET has evidence of undertaking some thematic	Not applicable - this recommendation is a	This is a large piece of retrospective work	To be decided - further discussion is required
Thematic reviews	The Trust and CCG should undertake thematic reviews in Mental Health on a number of the issues raised in this review, including: a. A joint review of the circumstances of death of people with serious mental illness on long term antipsychotic drugs encompassing a review of safeguarding alerts, self neglect and physical health management. b. A joint review of all deaths relating to people with a drug related death in conjunction with local providers encompassing a review of referral processes between agencies. c. A joint review with the CCG of recent cases of death relating to serious eating disorders to understand how services need to improve by bringing both physical and psychological management together. d. A joint review of alcohol related deaths in conjunction with local providers encompassing a review of self-referral processes.	SHFT has evidence of undertaking some thematic reviews which have been presented to Board subcommittees.	organisations.	involving external partners which requires coordination and a lead organisation. Capacity to facilitate could feature as a barrier. There are also	
Thematic reviews	The Trust should provide staff with regular training and guidance to help them manage physical health conditions of long-term mental health service users. Diabetes management stands out as an area for greater awareness from a number of cases we reviewed.	SHFT already has in place a physical health training course for both nurses and doctors working in the mental health field. This course was strengthened in 2015 and now covers 5 days in total.	Nil	Nil	Yes and this is to be considered as a core competency in relation to job roles

Mazars Recommendation Theme Thematic reviews	Mazars Recommendations The Trust should undertake thematic reviews of the issues raised in the review, including: a. Medical input and senior medical oversight b. The role of the care co-ordinator c. The need for pharmacy colleagues to be more explicitly involved in cases involving drug toxicity and polypharmacy. A regular review of all sudden deaths of OPMH inpatients should be	Current Compliance Although a retrospective review into these areas has not been undertaken reviews have taken place as part of service and process redesign work. All deaths within OPMH inpatient settings are now	Current Gap		Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested? In part. A) and b) are particularly broad and rather than carry out a thematic review, the Trust will present its current position in relation to these two areas as papers to Board sub-committees. C. will be implemented in full and pharmacy colleagues will be involved in either the investigation itself or the corporate panel in cases involving drug toxicity or polypharmacy.
	carried out. This should include a review of whether care treatment decisions are taken quickly enough, whether cooperation and liaison with acute medical staff is adequate and whether staff feel confident in managing and identifying sudden physical deterioration including CPR.	reported on the Ulysses system and are managed in line with the new death reporting procedure.	1		
Reporting and Identifying Deaths	b. All relevant deaths are reported on regardless of impact grading to ensure that deaths have greater prominence in the Trust's reporting systems.	b. Impact grading is checked at the corporate panelbefore upload to the NRLSd. NRLS reporting takes place as per NHS requirement as interpreted by the Trust. CQC reporting of deaths is in	Written confirmation from NRLS as to the Trust's interpretation of its guidance	There is a lack of consistent national application of the NRLS guidance. As a Trust we have been assured that we are following the correct procedure.	Yes
Quality of Investigation Reporting	The Serious Incident investigation process needs a major overhaul in the Trust. Improvements are needed in: a. Separation of people responsible for quality assurance and those undertaking investigations. This would enable training in review processes and quality assurance to be targeted at senior staff and in investigation techniques at a dedicated group of investigators. b. Quality assurance processes including independent review and sign off c. Achieving high professional standards in written presentation	Central investigation team, divisional and corporate panels are now all in place to ensure quality assurance and scrutiny. Independent review is achieved through CCG closure panels scrutiny. Investigator training has been undertaken which covers aspects of report writing and an electronic incident report template has been designed and is embedded in the Ulysses Safeguard system.	Development of ongoing assurance programme	Nil	Yes

			I	I	
Mazars Recommendation Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance	Current Gap	Barriers to implementation of recommendation	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested?
Timeliness of Investigations	Reporting to StEIS should be undertaken within the 2 working days of notification as required by the national guidance.	The Trust is presently 47% compliant to this requirement. There is ongoing monitoring of this key performance indicator supported by the central investigation team.	Ensuring that 48 hr death and serious incident review panels occur with senior clinician attendance to make the decision that StEIS reporting is required.	Nil	Yes
Timeliness of Investigations	There should be more explicit action to commence investigations promptly even when a coroner conclusion is not immediately available unless there is a specific reason to delay; any delay should have senior sign off.	The death reporting and incident procedure is specific that delays do not occur in reporting or commencing an investigation unless there is a specific and recorded reason for doing so.	Documentation of rationale for delaying commencement of a detailed investigation is not kept on Ulysses in a standardised format.	Nil	Yes
Involvement of Families	The involvement of families in investigations requires improvement. In particular, improvements are needed in: a. developing clear guidelines for staff, including expected timescales and core standards, which recognise the need for iterative engagement when the family is ready b. ensuring that the investigation process is clearly defined and separate from the support and assistance offered by local treatment teams c. the Trust should ensure that investigators talk to families as early as possible in the process to identify any concerns and take these into account in the ensuing investigation d. provide reports to coroners in time for inquests e. explicitly demonstrating why families are not involved f. identifying next of kin details for all service users as part of a core assessment including where consent to share has not been provided to enable investigators to find relatives more easily. g. working with primary care to identify family members h. where the Trust delays the commencement of an investigation due to inquests or other investigations this should be made explicit to families and the reasons explained. i. the performance of divisions in involving families and securing feedback	duty of candour has taken place correctly for every incident. Incident investigator training includes a session on the duty of candour and involving families in investigations. There is a centralised investigation team in post to provide expert help and support to investigators to fulfil the duty of candour and involve families.	1	f. cannot be implemented as it the patients choice as to whether next of kin details are provided at initial contact. g. next of kin details cannot is be sourced from primary care without the patients consent, this approach will only be taken in event of their death when details will be obtained either from primary care or the coroner.	Yes apart from f. g. will be dependant on information available to primary care partners and the coroner and is therefore not entirely within the Trust's control
Multi-agency working	The Trust Board should seek co-operation with other providers and commissioners to agree a framework for investigations in preparation for future incidents regarding escalation. Divisions should then apply this framework where the incident report suggests another organisation should review or investigate the circumstances of a death.		Consistent framework not in place for multiagency investigations. A framework must be agreed with commissioners	Nil	Local commissioners have agreed that it is their responsibility to lead on multi-agency reviews and to share concerns with third party organisations. The Trust will work with commissioners to agree a framework for escalation of concerns about third parties.
Deaths in detention and inpatient deaths	The Trust should retain a contemporaneous list of all inpatient deaths mapped to Mental Health Act status to enable Trust-wide oversight of all inpatient deaths and deaths in detention	All inpatient deaths of individuals subject to detention under the Mental Health Act are reported and also reported to the CQC.	A 'flag' will be applied to the Ulysses System to ensure that this is recorded as part of the death reporting process.	Nil	Yes

Mazars Recommendation Theme	Mazars Recommendations	Current Compliance	1	Barriers to implementation of recommendation	Will the Trust implement the recommendation as suggested or is an alternative approach suggested?
Deaths in detention and inpatient deaths	All deaths of service users in detention should be investigated, whether expected or not. These investigations should occur regardless of inquest conclusions. This will give assurance that the 24/7 nature of the care required has been of the highest standard. Specific issues addressed in the Terms of Reference for these investigations should include: a. to ensure that physical health care symptoms are not dismissed where challenging behaviour presents; b. that delays in seeking physical health care are not apparent; c. that service users are fully aware of decisions regarding whether to treat or investigate chronic or acute symptoms and that these are made in an informed manner; d. that access to full care and treatment is not restricted in any way; e. that staff are adequately supported to provide physical health care and trained to do so.	It is SHFT policy to investigate all inpatient deaths of individuals subject to Mental Health Act detention.	Where patients under detention have died in expected circumstances or through natural causes, these have not been automatically investigated as a SIRI.	Nil	Yes
Information management	The Trust should develop an agreed RiO extract and Ulysses reporting protocol to capture all deaths of Adult Mental Health, Older People Mental Health and Learning Disability service users including community and inpatient locations to form the basis of future mortality review.	Mortality reports have been developed and are accessed through the Trust business intelligence system Tableau.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		In part - OPMH community deaths are captured only in specific numbers due to the impractibility of recording the high numbers of OPMH community deaths in circumstances which are not untoward.
Information management	The spreadsheet arrangement currently in place in TQ21 is insufficient to monitor deaths at corporate level as part of the whole Learning Disability service provision. TQ21 service users should be incorporated into Trust administration systems in a way which ensures their deaths are captured for reporting and investigation purposes.	The new death reporting process has been implemented within TQ21. The same system is in place across the Trust.	Nil	Nil	Yes